

WEBEL TECHNOLOGY LIMITED

Corrigendum - I (Tender No. WTL/RECT/SS/24-25/011 dated 13.09.2024)

Sl. No.	Section No.	Clause No.	Page No.	Queries	WTL Comments
1	A	SOW 2.1 s.no iv.	5	<p>We have observed that Form 2.2 is not included in the tender documentation. Instead, we have found a section labeled "Q" that appears to address a similar MAF format.</p> <p>Could you please confirm if Form 2.2 should be substituted with Section Q?</p>	It should be read as Section - Q
2	A	SOW 2.2 S.no xviii	6	<p>After examining the tender documentation, it seems that Section-Q may be incorrectly referenced in this clause. We believe that Section-R would be more applicable to the requirements for the Manufacturer's Authorization Form from the respective OEM.</p> <p>Could you please verify if Section-R should indeed be used in place of Section-Q in this clause?</p>	It should be read as Section - R
3	F	Technical Evaluation Criteria no. 4	24	<p>Bidder must have successfully executed work orders for at least 7 different Govt. organization's directly for any of the Security Ancillary Services in examination-based projects during the last 5 years as on day of bidding.</p> <p>Direct Work Order from different Govt. organization ≥ 3 and < 6: 5 Marks</p> <p>Direct Work Order from different Govt. organization ≥ 6 and < 10: 7 Marks</p> <p>Direct Work Order from different Govt. organization ≥ 10: 10 Marks</p>	<p>To be Read as</p> <p>Bidder must have successfully executed work orders for at least 3 different Govt. organizations directly for any of the Security Ancillary Services in examination-based projects during the last 5 years as on day of bidding.</p> <p>Direct Work Order from different Govt. organization ≥ 3 and < 6: 5 Marks</p> <p>Direct Work Order from different Govt. organization ≥ 6 and < 10: 7 Marks</p> <p>Direct Work Order from different Govt. organization ≥ 10: 10 Marks</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Total marks for the Segment to be considered as 10</p>